

# Non-symmetric, Metric, Cometric Association Schemes Are Self-Dual

DOUGLAS A. LEONARD

*Division of Mathematics, Auburn University,  
Auburn, Alabama 36849*

*Communicated by the Editors*

Received May 9, 1988

It is shown here that non-symmetric, metric, cometric association schemes are self-dual, and that the parameters and eigenvalues can be recursively calculated in terms of roughly  $\frac{1}{2}d$  integer parameters. This is in marked contrast to the symmetric case in which such schemes need not be self-dual, but the parameters and eigenvalues are functions of 9 parameters independent of the diameter  $d$ . © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.

The work on closed forms for the parameters and eigenvalues of symmetric, metric, cometric association schemes was done in [3-5]. For a thorough background of the subject refer to Bannai and Ito [1], which contains the material in [3, 4]. Reference [6], which gives closed forms for the parameters in terms of the eigenvalues and dual eigenvalues in the non-symmetric case, contains all the background and notation needed to understand this paper. For a non-trivial example of such schemes see Liebler and Mena [7]. See Damerell [2] for general background.

It is shown here that non-symmetric, metric, cometric association schemes are self-dual. It is further shown that roughly  $\frac{1}{2}d$  parameters suffice to determine the rest, and that the first eigenvalue satisfies a quadratic equation over the rationals (unless the scheme is a directed cycle.)

As in [6], let  $P_i(x)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$  be a graded set of polynomials defined recursively by  $P_0(x) = 1$ ,  $P_1(x) = x$ , and

$$xP_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_{1ij}P_j(x), \quad p_{1i(i+1)} > 0, \quad 1 \leq i < d.$$

For fixed  $x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}$  let  $p_j(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (x - x_k)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d$ , and write  $P_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^i L_{i,j} p_j(x)$  relative to this new basis. These equations define a

$(d+1) \times (d+1)$  lower triangular matrix  $L(x_0, \dots, x_{d-1})$  with  $(i, j)$ th entry  $L_{i,j}$ , which was shown in [6] to satisfy the matrix equation

$$L^{-1}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \dots, \theta_d^*) = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \dots, \theta_d^*) L^{-1}(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{d-1}). \quad (1)$$

This equation was not exploited in the previous paper, but will be used here to show the self-duality of the scheme.

Let  $\Delta^j$  be the  $j$ th iteration of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta$  defined recursively by  $\Delta^0 f(x_0) = f(x_0)$  and

$$\Delta^j f(x_0, \dots, x_j) = \frac{\Delta^{j-1} f(x_0, \dots, x_{j-2}, x_j) - \Delta^{j-1} f(x_0, \dots, x_{j-1})}{x_j - x_{j-1}}.$$

Then since  $P_k(x) = x R_{k-1}(x)$  for some polynomial  $R_{k-1}(x)$  of degree  $k-1$  when  $k > 0$ ,

$$L_{k,j} = \Delta^j P_k(x_0, \dots, x_j) = \begin{cases} P_k(x_0) & \text{if } j=0 \\ x_j \Delta^j R_{k-1}(x_0, \dots, x_j) + \Delta^{j-1} R_{k-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{j-1}) & \text{if } j>0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

LEMMA.  $(L^{-1})_{t,0} = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} (-x_i)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq d$ .

*Proof* (by induction).  $L_{0,0} = 1$ , so  $(L^{-1})_{0,0} = 1$  because  $L$  is lower triangular. Suppose inductively that  $(L^{-1})_{k,0} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (-x_i)$  for all  $k < t$ . Since  $LL^{-1} = I$  has  $(t, 0)$ th entry 0 for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^t L_{t,k} (L^{-1})_{k,0} = (L^{-1})_{t,0} \Delta^t P_t(x_0, \dots, x_t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} (-x_l) \Delta^k P_t(x_0, \dots, x_k) = (L^{-1})_{t,0} \Delta^t P_t(x_0, \dots, x_t) \\ &\quad + \prod_{l=0}^{t-2} (-x_l) x_{t-1} \Delta^{t-1} R_{t-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{t-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta^t P_t(x_0, \dots, x_t) = \Delta^{t-1} R_{t-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{t-1})$  is the leading coefficient of  $P_t(x)$  (which is non-zero), the lemma follows.

THEOREM. Any non-symmetric, metric, cometric association scheme with  $g = d+1$  is self-dual.

*Proof.* Then from the  $(t, 0)$ th entry of the matrix equation (1)

$$\theta_0^* \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} (-\theta_{l+1}) = \theta_t^* \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} (-\theta_l). \quad (3)$$

Since  $\theta_l \neq 0$  for all  $l$ ,

$$\theta_t^*/\theta_0^* = \theta_t/\theta_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq d. \quad (4)$$

Then from the closed form for the parameters found in [6] (with  $b_j := p_{1(j+1)j'}$ ),

$$\frac{b_j}{\theta_0} = \frac{b_j^*}{\theta_0^*},$$

so

$$\frac{m_j}{\theta_0^*} = \frac{v_j}{\theta_0}.$$

And since  $\sum_{j=0}^d m_j = \sum_{j=0}^d v_j = n$ ,  $\theta_0^* = \theta_0$ , so the scheme is self-dual.

Then  $a_j := p_{1jj} = (\theta_j - \theta_0)(\theta_j^2 - \theta_{j-1}\theta_{j+1})/(\theta_j - \theta_{j-1})(\theta_j - \theta_{j+1})$  gives

$$\theta_{j+1} = \theta_j \frac{\theta_j(\theta_j - \theta_0) - a_j(\theta_j - \theta_{j-1})}{\theta_{j-1}(\theta_j - \theta_0) - a_j(\theta_j - \theta_{j-1})}$$

so the eigenvalues (and hence all of the parameters) can be determined recursively given  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_d$ . Since  $a_{j'} = a_j$  only roughly half of these are needed.

From the (2, 1), (3, 1), and (3, 2) entries of the matrix equation (1)

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(\theta_1 + b_1 - a_2)(\theta_1 + b_1 - a_2 - b_2) \\ = b_2(\theta_1 + b_1)(\theta_1 - \theta_0 + b_1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $b_1 \neq b_2$  this gives  $\theta_1$  in terms of  $\theta_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , and  $a_2$ . If  $b_1 = b_2$  and  $\theta_1$  is not rational, then  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ ,  $b_0 = b_1 = b_2$ .

T. Ito has noted that these results can be derived also by suitably generalizing arguments in [4] to non-symmetric schemes.

## REFERENCES

1. E. E. BANNAI AND T. ITO, "Algebraic Combinatorics I, Association Schemes," Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
2. R. M. DAMERELL, Distance-transitive and distance regular digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **31** (1981), 46-53.
3. D. LEONARD, Parameters of association schemes that are both  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **36** (1984), 355-563.

4. D. LEONARD, Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982), 656–663.
5. D. LEONARD, Metric, cometric association schemes, *Congress. Numer.* **44** (1984), 277–282.
6. D. LEONARD, Directed distance regular graphs with the  $Q$ -polynomial property, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **48** (1990), 191–196.
7. R. A. LIEBLER AND R. A. MENA, Certain distance-regular digraphs and related rings of characteristic 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **47** (1988), 111–123.